Lecture 3: Regularization and Optimization

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 1

Administrative: Assignment 1

Released last week, due Fri 4/21 at 11:59pm

Office hours: help with high-level questions only, no code debugging. [<u>No Code Show Policy</u>]

Lecture 3 - 2

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

Administrative: Project proposal

Due Mon 4/24

TA expertise are posted on the webpage.

(http://cs231n.stanford.edu/office_hours.html)

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 3

Administrative: Ed

Please make sure to check and read all pinned Ed posts.

- <u>AWS credit</u>: create an account, submit the number ID using google form by 4/13.
- <u>Project group</u>: fill in your information in the google form and/or look through existing responses and reach out
- <u>SCPD</u>: if you would like to take the midterm on-campus, make a private Ed post to let us know by 4/12.

Lecture 3 - 4

Image Classification: A core task in Computer Vision



This image by Nikita is licensed under CC-BY 2.0

(assume given a set of labels) {dog, cat, truck, plane, ...}



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 5

Recall from last time: Challenges of recognition

Viewpoint



Illumination



This image is CC0 1.0 public domain

Deformation



This image by Umberto Salvagnin is licensed under CC-BY 2.0

Occlusion



This image by jonsson is licensed under CC-BY 2.0



Intraclass Variation

This image is CC0 1.0 public domain

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 6

Recall from last time: data-driven approach, kNN



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 7

Recall from last time: Linear Classifier



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 8

Interpreting a Linear Classifier: Visual Viewpoint







Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 9

Example with an image with 4 pixels, and 3 classes (cat/dog/ship)



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 10

Interpreting a Linear Classifier: Geometric Viewpoint



f(x,W) = Wx + b



Array of **32x32x3** numbers (3072 numbers total)

Plot created using Wolfram Cloud

Cat image by Nikita is licensed under CC-BY 2.0

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 11

Suppose: 3 training examples, 3 classes. With some W the scores f(x, W) = Wx are:



A **loss function** tells how good our current classifier is

Given a dataset of examples

$$\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$$

Where $oldsymbol{x_i}_i$ is image and $oldsymbol{y_i}_i$ is (integer) label

Loss over the dataset is a average of loss over examples:

$$L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i)$$

April 11, 2023

Lecture 3 - 12

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

cat

car

frog



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 13

 $egin{aligned} f(x,W) &= Wx \ L &= rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j
eq y_i} \max(0, f(x_i;W)_j - f(x_i;W)_{y_i} + 1) \end{aligned}$

Q: Suppose that we found a W such that L = 0. Is this W unique?

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 14

 $egin{aligned} f(x,W) &= Wx \ L &= rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j
eq y_i} \max(0, f(x_i;W)_j - f(x_i;W)_{y_i} + 1) \end{aligned}$

Q: Suppose that we found a W such that L = 0. Is this W unique?

No! 2W is also has L = 0!

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 15

Suppose: 3 training examples, 3 classes. With some W the scores f(x, W) = Wx are:



$$L_i = \sum_{j
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

Before: $= \max(0, 1.3 - 4.9 + 1)$ $+\max(0, 2.0 - 4.9 + 1)$ $= \max(0, -2.6) + \max(0, -1.9)$ = 0 + 0= 0 With W twice as large: $= \max(0, 2.6 - 9.8 + 1)$ $+\max(0, 4.0 - 9.8 + 1)$ $= \max(0, -6.2) + \max(0, -4.8)$ = 0 + 0= 0

April 11, 2023

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 16

 $egin{aligned} f(x,W) &= Wx \ L &= rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j
eq y_i} \max(0, f(x_i;W)_j - f(x_i;W)_{y_i} + 1) \end{aligned}$

E.g. Suppose that we found a W such that L = 0. Is this W unique?

No! 2W is also has L = 0! How do we choose between W and 2W?

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 17

 $L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i)$

Data loss: Model predictions should match training data

Lecture 3 - 18

April 11, 2023

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i) + \lambda R(W)$$

Data loss: Model predictions should match training data

Regularization: Prevent the model from doing *too* well on training data

Lecture 3 - 19

April 11, 2023

Regularization intuition: toy example training data



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 20

Regularization intuition: Prefer Simpler Models



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 21

Regularization: Prefer Simpler Models



Regularization pushes against fitting the data *too* well so we don't fit noise in the data

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 22

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i) + \lambda R(W)$$

Data loss: Model predictions should match training data

Regularization: Prevent the model from doing *too* well on training data

Occam's Razor: Among multiple competing hypotheses, the simplest is the best, William of Ockham 1285-1347

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 23

 λ = regularization strength (hyperparameter)

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i) + \lambda R(W)$$

Data loss: Model predictions should match training data

Regularization: Prevent the model from doing *too* well on training data

Lecture 3 - 24

April 11, 2023

 λ = regularization strength (hyperparameter)

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i) + \lambda R(W)$$

Data loss: Model predictions should match training data

Regularization: Prevent the model from doing *too* well on training data

Lecture 3 - 25

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

Simple examples

L2 regularization: $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l W_{k,l}^2$ L1 regularization: $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l |W_{k,l}|$ Elastic net (L1 + L2): $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l \beta W_{k,l}^2 + |W_{k,l}|$

 λ = regularization strength (hyperparameter)

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i) + \lambda R(W)$$

Data loss: Model predictions should match training data

Regularization: Prevent the model from doing *too* well on training data

Lecture 3 - 26

April 11, 2023

Simple examplesMore complex:L2 regularization: $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l W_{k,l}^2$ DropoutL1 regularization: $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l |W_{k,l}|$ Batch normalizationElastic net (L1 + L2): $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l \beta W_{k,l}^2 + |W_{k,l}|$ Stochastic depth, fractional pooling, etc

 λ = regularization strength (hyperparameter)

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i) + \lambda R(W)$$

Data loss: Model predictions should match training data

Regularization: Prevent the model from doing *too* well on training data

Lecture 3 - 27

April 11, 2023

Why regularize?

- Express preferences over weights
- Make the model *simple* so it works on test data
- Improve optimization by adding curvature

Regularization: Expressing Preferences

$$x = [1, 1, 1, 1] \ w_1 = [1, 0, 0, 0]$$

L2 Regularization
$$R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l W_{k,l}^2$$

Which of w1 or w2 will the L2 regularizer prefer?

April 11, 2023

Lecture 3 - 28

$$w_2 = \left[0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25
ight]$$

$$w_1^T x = w_2^T x = 1$$

Regularization: Expressing Preferences

$$x = [1, 1, 1, 1]$$

 $w_1 = [1, 0, 0, 0]$

$$w_2 = \left[0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.25
ight]$$

L2 Regularization $R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l W_{k,l}^2$

Which of w1 or w2 will the L2 regularizer prefer? L2 regularization likes to "spread out" the weights

April 11, 2023

Lecture 3 - 29

$$w_1^T x = w_2^T x = 1$$

Regularization: Expressing Preferences

$$egin{aligned} x &= [1,1,1,1] \ w_1 &= [1,0,0,0] \ w_2 &= [0.25,0.25,0.25,0.25] \end{aligned}$$

L2 Regularization
$$R(W) = \sum_k \sum_l W_{k,l}^2$$

Which of w1 or w2 will the L2 regularizer prefer? L2 regularization likes to "spread out" the weights

$$w_1^T x = w_2^T x = 1$$

Which one would L1 regularization prefer?

April 11, 2023

Lecture 3 - 30

Recap

- We have some dataset of (x,y)-
- We have a score function: $s = f(x; W) \stackrel{\text{e.g.}}{=} Wx$
- We have a **loss function**: -

$$L_i = -\log(rac{e^{sy_i}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}})$$
 SVM $L_i = \sum_{j
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$ $L = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L_i + R(W)$ Full loss



April 11, 2023

Lecture 3 - 31

Recap

How do we find the best W?

Lecture 3 - 32

- We have some dataset of (x,y)
- We have a score function: $s = f(x; W) \stackrel{\text{e.g.}}{=} Wx$
- We have a loss function:

$$L_i = -\log(rac{e^{sy_i}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}})$$
 SVM $L_i = \sum_{j
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$ $L = rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L_i + R(W)$ Full loss

$$W \xrightarrow{\text{regularization loss}} \\ W \xrightarrow{\text{score function}} \\ f(x_i, W) \xrightarrow{\text{data loss}} \\ L \\ y_i \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

April 11, 2023

Interactive Web Demo



http://vision.stanford.edu/teaching/cs231n-demos/linear-classify/

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 33

Optimization

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 34



This image is CC0 1.0 public domain

April 11, 2023

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 35



Walking man image is CC0 1.0 public domain

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 36
Strategy #1: A first very bad idea solution: Random search

```
# assume X train is the data where each column is an example (e.g. 3073 x 50,000)
# assume Y train are the labels (e.g. 1D array of 50,000)
# assume the function L evaluates the loss function
bestloss = float("inf") # Python assigns the highest possible float value
for num in xrange(1000):
 W = np.random.randn(10, 3073) * 0.0001 # generate random parameters
 loss = L(X train, Y train, W) # get the loss over the entire training set
 if loss < bestloss: # keep track of the best solution
   bestloss = loss
   bestW = W
 print 'in attempt %d the loss was %f, best %f' % (num, loss, bestloss)
# prints:
# in attempt 0 the loss was 9.401632, best 9.401632
# in attempt 1 the loss was 8.959668, best 8.959668
# in attempt 2 the loss was 9.044034, best 8.959668
# in attempt 3 the loss was 9.278948, best 8.959668
# in attempt 4 the loss was 8.857370, best 8.857370
# in attempt 5 the loss was 8.943151, best 8.857370
# in attempt 6 the loss was 8.605604, best 8.605604
# ... (trunctated: continues for 1000 lines)
```

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 37

Lets see how well this works on the test set...

```
# Assume X_test is [3073 x 10000], Y_test [10000 x 1]
scores = Wbest.dot(Xte_cols) # 10 x 10000, the class scores for all test examples
# find the index with max score in each column (the predicted class)
Yte_predict = np.argmax(scores, axis = 0)
# and calculate accuracy (fraction of predictions that are correct)
np.mean(Yte_predict == Yte)
# returns 0.1555
```

15.5% accuracy! not bad! (SOTA is ~99.7%)

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 38

Strategy #2: Follow the slope



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 39

Strategy #2: Follow the slope

In 1-dimension, the derivative of a function:

$$rac{df(x)}{dx} = \lim_{h o 0} rac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

In multiple dimensions, the **gradient** is the vector of (partial derivatives) along each dimension

The slope in any direction is the **dot product** of the direction with the gradient The direction of steepest descent is the **negative gradient**

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 40

current W:	
[0.34,	
-1.11,	
0.78,	
0.12,	
0.55,	
2.81,	
-3.1,	
-1.5,	
0.33,]	
loss 1.25347	

gradient dW:



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 41

current W:	W + h (first dim):	gradient dW:
[0.34,	[0.34 + 0.0001 ,	[?,
-1.11,	-1.11,	?,
0.78,	0.78,	?.
0.12,	0.12,	?,
0.55,	0.55,	?,
2.81,	2.81,	?,
-3.1,	-3.1,	?,
-1.5,	-1.5,	?
0.33,]	0.33,]	?]
loss 1.25347	loss 1.25322	

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 42

current W:	W + h (first dim):	gradient dW:
[0.34, -1.11, 0.78, 0.12, 0.55, 2.81, -3.1, -1.5, 0.33,]	[0.34 + 0.0001 , -1.11, 0.78, 0.12, 0.55, 2.81, -3.1, -1.5, 0.33,]	$[-2.5, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?, ?,]$ $(1.25322 - 1.25347)/0.0001 = -2.5$ $\frac{df(x)}{dx} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$?, ?,]

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 43

current W:	W + h (second
[0.34,	[0.34,
-1.11,	-1.11 + 0.0001
0.78,	0.78,
0.12,	0.12,
0.55,	0.55,
2.81,	2.81,
-3.1,	-3.1,
-1.5,	-1.5,
0.33,]	0.33,]
loss 1.25347	loss 1.25353

gradient dW:



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 44

dim):



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 45

current W:	W + h (third dim):
[0.34,	[0.34,
-1.11,	-1.11,
0.78,	0.78 + 0.0001 ,
0.12,	0.12,
0.55,	0.55,
2.81,	2.81,
-3.1,	-3.1,
-1.5,	-1.5,
0.33,]	0.33,]
loss 1.25347	loss 1.25347

gradient dW:



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 46

current W:	W + h (third dim):
[0.34,	[0.34,
-1.11,	-1.11,
0.78,	0.78 + 0.0001 ,
0.12,	0.12,
0.55,	0.55,
2.81,	2.81,
-3.1,	-3.1,
-1.5,	-1.5,
0.33,]	0.33,]
loss 1.25347	loss 1.25347



April 11, 2023

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 47

current W:	W + h (third dim):	gradient dW:
[0.34, -1.11, 0.78, 0.12, 0.55, 2.81, -3.1, -1.5, 0.33,] Ioss 1.25347	[0.34, -1.11, 0.78 + 0.0001 , 0.12, 0.55, 2.81, -3.1, -1.5, 0.33,] Ioss 1.25347	[-2.5, 0.6, 0, ?, Numeric Gradient - Slow! Need to loop over all dimensions - Approximate

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 48

This is silly. The loss is just a function of W:

Lecture 3 - 49

April 11, 2023

$$egin{aligned} L &= rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L_i + \sum_k W_k^2 \ L_i &= \sum_{j
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1) \ s &= f(x; W) = Wx \end{aligned}$$

want $\nabla_W L$

This is silly. The loss is just a function of W:

$$egin{aligned} L &= rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N L_i + \sum_k W_k^2 \ L_i &= \sum_{j
eq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1) \ s &= f(x; W) = Wx \end{aligned}$$

want $\nabla_W L$

Use calculus to compute an analytic gradient



This image is in the public domain

Lecture 3 - 50

This image is in the public domain

April 11, 2023

current W:

[0.34, -1.11, 0.78, 0.12, 0.55, 2.81, -3.1, -1.5, 0.33,...] loss 1.25347

[-2.5, dW = ... 0.6, (some function 0, data and W) 0.2, 0.7, -0.5, 1.1, 1.3, -2.1,...]

gradient dW:

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 51

In summary:

- Numerical gradient: approximate, slow, easy to write
- Analytic gradient: exact, fast, error-prone

=>

In practice: Always use analytic gradient, but check implementation with numerical gradient. This is called a gradient check.

Lecture 3 - 52

April 11, 2023

Gradient Descent

```
# Vanilla Gradient Descent
while True:
    weights_grad = evaluate_gradient(loss_fun, data, weights)
    weights += - step_size * weights_grad # perform parameter update
```

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 53



negative gradient direction

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 54



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 55

Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(x_i, y_i, W) + \lambda R(W)$$
$$\nabla_W L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_W L_i(x_i, y_i, W) + \lambda \nabla_W R(W)$$

Full sum expensive when N is large!

Approximate sum using a **minibatch** of examples 32 / 64 / 128 common

April 11, 2023

```
# Vanilla Minibatch Gradient Descent
while True:
    data_batch = sample_training_data(data, 256) # sample 256 examples
    weights_grad = evaluate_gradient(loss_fun, data_batch, weights)
    weights += - step_size * weights_grad # perform parameter update
```

Lecture 3 - 56

What if loss changes quickly in one direction and slowly in another? What does gradient descent do?



Aside: Loss function has high **condition number**: ratio of largest to smallest singular value of the Hessian matrix is large

Lecture 3 - 57

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

What if loss changes quickly in one direction and slowly in another? What does gradient descent do?

Very slow progress along shallow dimension, jitter along steep direction



Loss function has high **condition number**: ratio of largest to smallest singular value of the Hessian matrix is large

Lecture 3 -

- 58

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

What if the loss function has a **local minima** or **saddle point**?



What if the loss function has a **local minima** or **saddle point**?

Zero gradient, gradient descent gets stuck



What if the loss function has a **local minima** or **saddle point**?

Saddle points much more common in high dimension

Lecture 3 -

61

<u>Apr</u>il 11, 2023

Dauphin et al, "Identifying and attacking the saddle point problem in high-dimensional non-convex optimization", NIPS 2014

saddle point in two dimension

$$f(x,y) = x^2 - y^2$$

$$rac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^2-y^2)=2x
ightarrow 2(0)=0$$

$$rac{\partial}{\partial oldsymbol{y}}(x^2-oldsymbol{y}^2)=-2y
ightarrow -2(oldsymbol{0})=0$$



Image source: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saddle_point</u>

Lecture 3 - 62

April 11, 2023

Our gradients come from minibatches so they can be noisy!

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(x_i, y_i, W)$$

$$\nabla_W L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \nabla_W L_i(x_i, y_i, W)$$



63

April 11, 2023

Lecture 3 -

SGD + Momentum

Local Minima Saddle points Poor Conditioning

Gradient Noise



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 64

SGD: the simple two line update code

Lecture 3 -

65

April 11, 2023

SGD

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t)$$

while True: dx = compute_gradient(x) x -= learning_rate * dx

SGD + Momentum: continue moving in the general direction as the previous iterations SGD SGD+Momentum

$$v_{t+1} = \rho v_t + \nabla f(x_t)$$
$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha v_{t+1}$$

- 66

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

Lecture 3 -

while True:

dx = compute_gradient(x)
x -= learning_rate * dx

 $x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t)$

- Build up "velocity" as a running mean of gradients
- Rho gives "friction"; typically rho=0.9 or 0.99

Sutskever et al, "On the importance of initialization and momentum in deep learning", ICML 2013

SGD + Momentum: continue moving in the general direction as the previous iterations SGD SGD+Momentum

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t)$$

while True: dx = compute_gradient(x) x -= learning_rate * dx $v_{t+1} = \rho v_t + \nabla f(x_t)$ $x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha v_{t+1}$ vx = 0
while True:
dx = compute_gradient(x)
vx = rho * vx + dx
x -= learning_rate * vx

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

Lecture 3 - 67

- Build up "velocity" as a running mean of gradients
- Rho gives "friction"; typically rho=0.9 or 0.99

Sutskever et al, "On the importance of initialization and momentum in deep learning", ICML 2013

SGD + Momentum: alternative equivalent formulation

SGD+Momentum

 $v_{t+1} = \rho v_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t)$ $x_{t+1} = x_t + v_{t+1}$

vx = 0
while True:
 dx = compute_gradient(x)
 vx = rho * vx - learning_rate * dx
 x += vx

SGD+Momentum

$$v_{t+1} = \rho v_t + \nabla f(x_t)$$
$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha v_{t+1}$$

vx = 0
while True:
 dx = compute_gradient(x)
 vx = rho * vx + dx
 x -= learning_rate * vx

- 68

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

You may see SGD+Momentum formulated different ways, but they are equivalent - give same sequence of x

Lecture 3 -

Sutskever et al, "On the importance of initialization and momentum in deep learning", ICML 2013

SGD+Momentum

Momentum update:



Combine gradient at current point with velocity to get step used to update weights

Nesterov, "A method of solving a convex programming problem with convergence rate $O(1/k^2)$ ", 1983 Nesterov, "Introductory lectures on convex optimization: a basic course", 2004 Sutskever et al, "On the importance of initialization and momentum in deep learning", ICML 2013

Lecture 3 -

69

April 11, 2023

Nesterov Momentum

Momentum update:



Nesterov Momentum



Combine gradient at current point with velocity to get step used to update weights

Nesterov, "A method of solving a convex programming problem with convergence rate O(1/k^2)", 1983 Nesterov, "Introductory lectures on convex optimization: a basic course", 2004 Sutskever et al, "On the importance of initialization and momentum in deep learning", ICML 2013 "Look ahead" to the point where updating using velocity would take us; compute gradient there and mix it with velocity to get actual update direction

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 70

Nesterov Momentum

$$v_{t+1} = \rho v_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t + \rho v_t)$$
$$x_{t+1} = x_t + v_{t+1}$$



"Look ahead" to the point where updating using velocity would take us; compute gradient there and mix it with velocity to get actual update direction

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 71 April 11, 2023

Nesterov Momentum

$$v_{t+1} = \rho v_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t + \rho v_t)$$

$$x_{t+1} = x_t + v_{t+1}$$

Annoying, usually we want update in terms of $x_t, \nabla f(x_t)$



"Look ahead" to the point where updating using velocity would take us; compute gradient there and mix it with velocity to get actual update direction

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 72 April 11, 2023
Nesterov Momentum

$$\begin{aligned} v_{t+1} &= \rho v_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t + \rho v_t) \\ x_{t+1} &= x_t + v_{t+1} \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{Annoying, usu} \\ \text{update in term} \\ \text{velocity} \end{aligned} \\ \end{aligned} \\ \text{Change of variables } \tilde{x}_t &= x_t + \rho v_t \\ \text{and} \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{Annoying, usu} \\ \text{update in term} \\ \text{velocity} \end{aligned}$$

Annoying, usually we want update in terms of $x_t,
abla f(x_t)$



"Look ahead" to the point where updating using velocity would take us; compute gradient there and mix it with velocity to get actual update direction

https://cs231n.github.io/neural-networks-3/

rearrange:

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 73 April 11, 2023

Nesterov Momentum

$$v_{t+1} = \rho v_t - \alpha \nabla f(x_t + \rho v_t)$$
$$x_{t+1} = x_t + v_{t+1}$$

Annoying, usually we want update in terms of x_t , $\nabla f(x_t)$



"Look ahead" to the point where updating using velocity would take us; compute gradient there and mix it with velocity to get actual update direction

April 11, 2023

Lecture 3 - 74

Change of variables $\tilde{x}_t = x_t + \rho v_t$ and rearrange:

$$v_{t+1} = \rho v_t - \alpha \nabla f(\tilde{x}_t) \tilde{x}_{t+1} = \tilde{x}_t - \rho v_t + (1+\rho)v_{t+1} = \tilde{x}_t + v_{t+1} + \rho(v_{t+1} - v_t)$$

https://cs231n.github.io/neural-networks-3/

Nesterov Momentum



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 75

grad_squared = 0
while True:
 dx = compute_gradient(x)
 grad_squared += dx * dx
 x -= learning_rate * dx / (np.sqrt(grad_squared) + 1e-7)

Added element-wise scaling of the gradient based on the historical sum of squares in each dimension

Lecture 3 -

-76

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

"Per-parameter learning rates" or "adaptive learning rates"

Duchi et al, "Adaptive subgradient methods for online learning and stochastic optimization", JMLR 2011



Q: What happens with AdaGrad?

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 77



Q: What happens with AdaGrad?

Progress along "steep" directions is damped; progress along "flat" directions is accelerated

April 11, 2023

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 78



Q2: What happens to the step size over long time?

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 79



Q2: What happens to the step size over long time? Decays to zero

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 80 April 11, 2023

RMSProp: "Leaky AdaGrad"



Lecture 3 - 81

April 11, 2023

Tieleman and Hinton, 2012

RMSProp



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 82

Adam (almost)

```
first_moment = 0
second_moment = 0
while True:
    dx = compute_gradient(x)
    first_moment = beta1 * first_moment + (1 - beta1) * dx
    second_moment = beta2 * second_moment + (1 - beta2) * dx * dx
    x -= learning_rate * first_moment / (np.sqrt(second_moment) + 1e-7))
```

Lecture 3 - 83

April 11, 2023

Kingma and Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization", ICLR 2015

Adam (almost)



Lecture 3 - 84

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

Sort of like RMSProp with momentum

Q: What happens at first timestep?

Kingma and Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization", ICLR 2015

Adam (full form)



Lecture 3 -

- 85

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

Bias correction for the fact that first and second moment estimates start at zero

Kingma and Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization", ICLR 2015

Adam (full form)



Bias correction for the fact that first and second moment estimates start at zero

Adam with beta1 = 0.9, beta2 = 0.999, and learning_rate = 1e-3 or 5e-4 is a great starting point for many models!

- 86

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

Lecture 3 -

Kingma and Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization", ICLR 2015

Adam



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 87

Learning rate schedules



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 88

SGD, SGD+Momentum, Adagrad, RMSProp, Adam all have **learning rate** as a hyperparameter.



Q: Which one of these learning rates is best to use?

-89

April 11, 2023

Lecture 3 -

SGD, SGD+Momentum, Adagrad, RMSProp, Adam all have **learning rate** as a hyperparameter.



Q: Which one of these learning rates is best to use?

A: In reality, all of these are good learning rates.

90

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

Lecture 3 -

Learning rate decays over time



Step: Reduce learning rate at a few fixed points. E.g. for ResNets, multiply LR by 0.1 after epochs 30, 60, and 90.

-91

April 11, 2023

Lecture 3 -



Loshchilov and Hutter, "SGDR: Stochastic Gradient Descent with Warm Restarts", ICLR 2017 Radford et al, "Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training", 2018 Feichtenhofer et al, "SlowFast Networks for Video Recognition", arXiv 2018 Child at al, "Generating Long Sequences with Sparse Transformers", arXiv 2019

Step: Reduce learning rate at a few fixed points. E.g. for ResNets, multiply LR by 0.1 after epochs 30, 60, and 90.

Cosine:
$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 \left(1 + \cos(t\pi/T) \right)$$

Lecture 3 - 92

 α_0 : Initial learning rate

- $lpha_t$: Learning rate at epoch t
 - T : Total number of epochs

<u>Apr</u>il 11, 2023



Loshchilov and Hutter, "SGDR: Stochastic Gradient Descent with Warm Restarts", ICLR 2017 Radford et al, "Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training", 2018 Feichtenhofer et al, "SlowFast Networks for Video Recognition", arXiv 2018 Child at al, "Generating Long Sequences with Sparse Transformers", arXiv 2019

Step: Reduce learning rate at a few fixed points. E.g. for ResNets, multiply LR by 0.1 after epochs 30, 60, and 90.

Cosine:
$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 \left(1 + \cos(t\pi/T) \right)$$

 α_0 : Initial learning rate

- $lpha_t$: Learning rate at epoch t
 - T : Total number of epochs

<u>Apr</u>il 11, 2023

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 93



Devlin et al, "BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding", 2018

Step: Reduce learning rate at a few fixed points. E.g. for ResNets, multiply LR by 0.1 after epochs 30, 60, and 90.

94

Cosine:
$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 \left(1 + \cos(t\pi/T) \right)$$

Linear:
$$\alpha_t = \alpha_0(1 - t/T)$$

Lecture 3 -

 $lpha_0$: Initial learning rate

- $lpha_t$: Learning rate at epoch t
 - T: Total number of epochs

April 11, 2023



Step: Reduce learning rate at a few fixed points. E.g. for ResNets, multiply LR by 0.1 after epochs 30, 60, and 90.

- 95

Cosine:
$$\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 \left(1 + \cos(t\pi/T)\right)$$

Linear: $\alpha_t = \alpha_0 (1 - t/T)$

Inverse sqrt:
$$\alpha_t = \alpha_0/\sqrt{t}$$

Lecture 3 -

 α_0 : Initial learning rate α_t : Learning rate at epoch t T : Total number of epochs

April 11, 2023

Vaswani et al, "Attention is all you need", NIPS 2017

Learning Rate Decay: Linear Warmup



High initial learning rates can make loss explode; linearly increasing learning rate from 0 over the first ~5,000 iterations can prevent this.

Empirical rule of thumb: If you increase the batch size by N, also scale the initial learning rate by N

96

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

Lecture 3 -

Goyal et al, "Accurate, Large Minibatch SGD: Training ImageNet in 1 Hour", arXiv 2017

First-Order Optimization



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 97 Apr

First-Order Optimization



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 98



Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 99

second-order Taylor expansion:

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \approx J(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{\top} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^{\top} \boldsymbol{H} (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$$

Lecture 3 -

100

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

Solving for the critical point we obtain the Newton parameter update:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 - \boldsymbol{H}^{-1} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$$

Q: Why is this bad for deep learning?

second-order Taylor expansion:

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \approx J(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^\top \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^\top \boldsymbol{H} (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$$

Solving for the critical point we obtain the Newton parameter update:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 - \boldsymbol{H}^{-1} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$$

Hessian has O(N²) elements Inverting takes O(N³) N = (Tens or Hundreds of) Millions

Lecture 3 - 101

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

Q: Why is this bad for deep learning?

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 - \boldsymbol{H}^{-1} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$$

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

Lecture 3 - 102

- Quasi-Newton methods (BGFS most popular): instead of inverting the Hessian (O(n^3)), approximate inverse Hessian with rank 1 updates over time (O(n^2) each).
- L-BFGS (Limited memory BFGS): Does not form/store the full inverse Hessian.

L-BFGS

- Usually works very well in full batch, deterministic mode i.e. if you have a single, deterministic f(x) then L-BFGS will probably work very nicely
- Does not transfer very well to mini-batch setting. Gives bad results. Adapting second-order methods to large-scale, stochastic setting is an active area of research.

Lecture 3 -

103

April 11, 2023

Le et al, "On optimization methods for deep learning, ICML 2011" Ba et al, "Distributed second-order optimization using Kronecker-factored approximations", ICLR 2017

In practice:

- Adam is a good default choice in many cases; it often works ok even with constant learning rate
- SGD+Momentum can outperform Adam but may require more tuning of LR and schedule
- If you can afford to do full batch updates then try out
 L-BFGS (and don't forget to disable all sources of noise)

Lecture 3 - 104

<u>April 11, 2023</u>

Next time:

Introduction to neural networks

Backpropagation

Fei-Fei Li, Yunzhu Li, Ruohan Gao

Lecture 3 - 105