

CS 131 Computer Vision: Foundations and Applications  
(Fall 2016)  
Problem Set 1

## 1 Linear Filters

In class, we introduced 2D discrete space convolution. Consider an input image  $I[i, j]$  and an  $m \times n$  filter  $F[k, l]$ . The 2D convolution  $I * F$  is defined as

$$(I * F)[i, j] = \sum_{k, l} I[i - k, j - l] F[k, l]. \quad (1)$$

*Hint: The above operation is run for each pixel  $(i, j)$  of the result.*

(a) Convolve the following  $I$  and  $F$ . Assume we use **zero-padding** where necessary.

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

*Important: DO NOT use Matlab for this question. Please show all necessary steps to receive full credit.*

(b) Note that the  $F$  given in (2) is **separable**; that is, it can be written as a product of two 1D filters:  $F = F_1 F_2$ . Here, we have

$$F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad F_2 = [1 \quad -1] \quad (3)$$

Compute  $(I * F_1)$  and  $(I * F_1) * F_2$ , i.e. first perform 1D convolution on each column, followed by another 1D convolution on each row.

*Important: DO NOT use Matlab for this question. Please show all necessary steps to receive full credit.*

(c) Prove that for any separable filter  $F = F_1 F_2$ ,

$$I * F = (I * F_1) * F_2 \quad (4)$$

*Hint: Expand equation (1) directly.*

(d) In this question, we will count the *exact* number of multiplications (including those multiplications due to zero-padding) involved in part (a) and (b).

- (i) When counting part (a), what's the number of multiplications if you flip and shift I?
- (ii) Is the number of multiplications the same if you flip and shift F?
- (iii) What are the number of multiplications for part (b)?
- (iv) Which one of the two methods (a) or (b) requires fewer calculations?

*Hint:*

- The answers should be exact numerical values. We will not accept any approximation.
  - You may find the computation steps you wrote down in parts (a) and (b) helpful here.
- (e) Consider a more general case:  $I$  is an  $M_1$  by  $N_1$  image, and  $F$  is an  $M_2$  by  $N_2$  separable filter.
- (i) How many multiplications do you need to do a direct 2D convolution?
  - (ii) How many multiplications you need to do 1D convolutions on rows and columns?
- Hint: For (i) and (ii), the results should be two functions of  $M_1$ ,  $N_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $N_2$ . We will not accept any approximation.*
- (iii) Use Big- $O$  notation to argue which one is more efficient in general: direct 2D convolution or two successive 1D convolutions?

## 2 Normalized Cross-correlation

*Background:* In class, we covered cross-correlation, in which a template image is multiplied with sections of a larger image to measure how similar each section is to the template. **Normalized cross-correlation** is a small refinement to this process. In rough terms, it works like this: before multiplying the template with each small section of the image, the image section is scaled and offset so it has zero mean and variance of 1. This increases accuracy by penalizing image sections which have high intensity but do not match the pattern of the template. The MATLAB function `normxcorr2` performs this entire process for you.

(a) Use the provided `crossCorrelation.m` file to load the provided photo and template. Read the MATLAB documentation for `normxcorr2`, and use it to perform cross-correlation to find the section of the image that best matches the template. Include your MATLAB code in your writeup, and describe why the peak occurs where it does. Also explain the straight-line artifacts in the cross-correlation. You don't need to include the cross-correlation image itself in your report, as it may not print well.

(b) In `crossCorrelation.m`, perform cross-correlation using the larger template. Note that the larger template does not exactly match the image. Describe your results, and why they are different from part (a). What does this tell you about the limitations of cross-correlation for identifying objects in real-world photos? Also justify what applications cross-correlation would be good at.

*Hint: The provided code auto-scales image brightness so that it covers the full range. Therefore, same colors do not necessarily have the same value in the two cross-correlation images.*

(c) Above, we saw that cross-correlation can be fragile. One way to make it less fragile is to perform cross-correlation using many templates to cover the different ways an object may appear in an image. Suppose we wish to search for  $N_R$  possible rotations of an object at  $N_S$  possible sizes. Assume the image is size  $n \times n$  and the template is roughly size  $m \times m$ . How many math operations will the entire search require?

*Hint: We're just looking for a "Big-O Notation" estimate. In other words, you may neglect constant factors, such as the effects of image edge padding, and smaller terms.*

### 3 Canny Edge Detector




Figure 1: A rotated detected edge

(a) Suppose that the Canny edge detector successfully detects an edge in an image. The edge (see Figure 1) is then rotated by  $\theta$ , where the relationship between a point on the original edge  $(x, y)$  and a point on the rotated edge  $(x', y')$  is defined as

$$x' = x \cos \theta \quad y' = x \sin \theta \quad (5)$$

Will the rotated edge be detected using the same Canny edge detector? Provide either a mathematical proof or a counter example.

*Hint: The detection of an edge by the Canny edge detector depends only on the magnitude of its derivative. The derivative at point  $(x, y)$  is determined by its components along the  $x$  and  $y$  directions. Think about how these magnitudes have changed because of the rotation.*

(b) After running the Canny edge detector on an image, you notice that long edges are broken into short segments separated by gaps. In addition, some spurious edges appear. For each of the two thresholds (low and high) used in hysteresis thresholding, explain how you would adjust the threshold (up or down) to address both problems. Assume that a setting exists for the two thresholds that produces the desired result. Briefly explain your answer.

## 4 Difference-of-Gaussian (DoG) Detector

(a) The 1-D Gaussian is

$$g_{\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad (6)$$

Calculate its 2nd derivative with respect to  $x$ , and use Matlab to plot it (use  $\sigma = 1$ ).

(b) Use Matlab to plot the difference of Gaussians in 1-D given by

$$D(x, \sigma, k) = \frac{g_{k\sigma}(x) - g_{\sigma}(x)}{k\sigma - \sigma} \quad (7)$$

using  $k = 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8$  and  $2.0$ . State which value of  $k$  gives the best approximation to the 2nd derivative with respect to  $x$ . You may assume that  $\sigma = 1$ .

*Important: Submit your Matlab code in the pdf as a "code" block.*

(c) The 2D equivalents of the plots above are rotationally symmetric. To what type of image structure will a difference of Gaussian respond maximally?

## 5 RANSAC for Fitting Circles




Figure 2: Fitting a circle to a group of 2D points.

In class, we discussed how to fit a line to a series of points using RANSAC. In this problem, you are going to develop an algorithm that uses RANSAC for fitting a circle to a group of points in two dimensional space  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  (see Figure 2).

*Important: Please submit your code and plots for this problem.*

(a) A circle  $\mathcal{C}$  in 2D space is given by its center  $(c_x, c_y)$  and its radius  $R$ . Before we implement RANSAC, we need a way to fit a circle  $(c_x, c_y, R)$  to a chosen set of points (we will need at least 3 points to specify a circle, and with more points we can get an “average circle” that provides a better fit). Read the provided handout on least-squares model fitting. We will use least-squares to fit a circle to 3 or more points. Remember that, for each point  $i$ , a circle should satisfy the simple scalar equation:

$$R^2 = (x_i - c_x)^2 + (y_i - c_y)^2.$$

From basic algebra, this can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} R^2 &= x_i^2 - 2x_i c_x + c_x^2 + y_i^2 - 2y_i c_y + c_y^2 \\ 2x_i c_x + 2y_i c_y + R^2 - c_x^2 - c_y^2 &= x_i^2 + y_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

Note that our equations contain squares of unknown variables  $(R, c_x$  and  $c_y)$ . Least squares can only handle linear equations, so this is a problem. However, we can define a new variable  $q = R^2 - c_x^2 - c_y^2$ . Using this trick, we have:

$$2x_i c_x + 2y_i c_y + q = x_i^2 + y_i^2$$

This is indeed a linear equation (note  $x_i^2$  and  $y_i^2$  are constants), so a set of these equations can be solved by least squares! Afterward, we can recover the value of  $R^2$  from  $q$ .

Your task is to edit the provided `FitCircle.m` to set up a system of the above equations (one equation per input point) and solve the system using least squares. Run the provided `TestFit.m` to check your solution. The fit should be good on the first plot, but may be sensitive to outliers for the second plot. Include your code and the plots from a run of `TestFit.m` in your submitted solution.

(b) Using your `FitCircle()` function as a subroutine, complete the `RANSAC.m` function. Run the provided `TestRansac.m` to test your function. Include your code and the plots from a run of `TestRansac.m` in your submitted solution. *Hint: review the lecture slides on RANSAC and read through `RANSAC.m` from the beginning. Be sure to understand the meaning of each input argument before coding. Use the given functions to help you. Each “Your Code Here” spot should only take one or a few lines of code. Look at our default value for each variable to understand the format expected.*

(c) Above, we applied RANSAC to  $N = 10$  points. Edit `TestRansac.m` to set  $N = 1000$  and run it a few times to see the results. Briefly explain how the results are different and why. What RANSAC parameters could you change to improve the solution? You can modify the parameters in `TestRansac.m` to test your answer.

## 6 Pinhole Camera Model

In class, we have discussed the pinhole camera model. In this problem, we will calibrate a pinhole camera. We have provided an illustration for pinhole camera model in Figure 3, where  $O$  is the location of the pinhole. The **focal length**, i.e. the distance from the image plane to the pinhole is  $f$ .

(a) Suppose we have a point  $(x, y, z)$  in the coordinate system defined by the pinhole and the image plane origin is aligned to the pinhole. What’s the corresponding point in the image plane?

*Hint: You can use  $f, x, y$  and  $z$  to represent the corresponding point.*

(b) Now we have a calibration board (or a checkerboard) as in Figure 4. Each black square on the checkerboard has an area of  $S$ . Assume we have image plane and calibration board face each other in parallel and the distance between the calibration board and the pinhole is  $L$ . What’s the area of each black square in the image plane?

(c) Now the image plane origin is no longer aligned to the pinhole, which is  $(c_x, c_y)$ . Suppose we have a point  $(x, y, z)$  in the coordinate system defined by the pinhole, what’s the corresponding point in the image plane?

*Hint: You can use  $c_x, c_y, f, x, y$  and  $z$  to represent the corresponding point.*

(d) Continue with part (c). We have our pinhole camera parameters  $f, c_x$  and  $c_y$ . Suppose these parameters are unknown, and we want to measure them by taking photos of our calibration board. The calibration process works as follows:

1. Place the checkerboard parallel with the image plane at some distance  $L$ .




Figure 3: Pinhole camera model

2. Mark some corners (or some distinctive points on the checkerboard), measure each point's location  $(x, y, L)$  on the checkerboard and then take a photo.
3. Find the corresponding points in the image and measure their location in the image.

What's the minimal number of points to measure in order to get the pinhole camera parameters  $f$ ,  $c_x$  and  $c_y$ ? Explain your reasons.

*Hint: We assume that the calibration process involves placing the checkerboard at some distance  $L_1$  and then measuring  $(x_1, y_1, L_1)$ . Then we place the checkerboard at depth  $L_2$  and measure  $(x_2, y_2, L_2)$  and so on.*

(e) Continue with part (d). Is it possible to get the pinhole camera parameters  $f$ ,  $c_x$  and  $c_y$  with our checkerboard fixed (i.e. without moving the checkerboard during the calibration process)? Justify your answers.

*Hint: we are asking about the effects of calculating the pinhole camera parameters if we keep the checkerboard fixed at some distance  $L$  and measure  $(x_1, y_1, L)$  and  $(x_2, y_2, L)$  and so on.*



Figure 4: A sample calibration board