

CS 131 Computer Vision: Foundations and Applications

(Autumn 2013)

Problem Set 1

1 Linear Filters

In class, we introduced 2D discrete space convolution. Consider an input image $I[i, j]$ and an $m \times n$ filter $F[i, j]$. The 2D convolution $I * F$ is defined as

$$(I * F)[i, j] = \sum_{k, l} I[i - k, j - l] F[k, l] \quad (1)$$

Note that the above operation is run for each pixel (i, j) of the result.

(a) Convolve the following I and F . Assume we use **zero-padding** where necessary.

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

Important: Please DO NOT use Matlab for this question. Show all steps of computation for at least the upper-left 2x2 entries of the result. This will be helpful for answering question (d). You may use MATLAB afterward to check your result.

(b) Note that the F given in (2) is **separable**; that is, it can be written as a product of two 1D filters: $F = F_1 F_2$. Here, we have

$$F_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad F_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Compute $(I * F_1)$ and $(I * F_1) * F_2$, i.e. first perform 1D convolution on each column, followed by another 1D convolution on each row.

Important: Please DO NOT use Matlab for this question. Show all steps of computation for at least the upper-left 2x2 entries of the result. You may use MATLAB afterward to check your result.

(c) Prove that for any separable filter $F = F_1 F_2$,

$$I * F = (I * F_1) * F_2 \quad (4)$$

Hint: Expand equation (1) directly.

(d) Carefully count the *exact* number of multiplications (multiplications only, including those multiplications due to zero-padding) involved in part (a) and (b). Which requires fewer operations?

Hint: We are asking for two exact numerical values here. We will not accept any forms of approximation as answers. You may find the computation steps you wrote down for (a) and (b) helpful here.

(e) Consider a more general case: I is an M_1 by N_1 image, and F is an M_2 by N_2 separable filter.

(i) How many multiplications you need to do a direct 2D convolution?

(ii) How many multiplications you need to do 1D convolutions on rows and columns?

Hint: For (i) and (ii), we are asking for two functions of M_1 , N_1 , M_2 and N_2 here. We will not accept any forms of approximation as answers.

(iii) Use Big- O notation to argue which one is more efficient in general: direct 2D convolution or two successive 1D convolutions?

2 Normalized Cross-correlation

Background: In class, we covered cross-correlation, in which a template image is multiplied with sections of a larger image to measure how similar each section is to the template. **Normalized cross-correlation** is a small refinement to this process. In rough terms, it works like this: before multiplying the template with each small section of the image, the image section is scaled and offset so it has zero mean and variance of 1. This increases accuracy by penalizing image sections which have high intensity but do not match the pattern of the template. The MATLAB function `normxcorr2` performs this entire process for you.

(a) Use the provided `crossCorrelation.m` file to load the provided photo and template. Read the MATLAB documentation for `normxcorr2`, and use it to perform cross-correlation to find the section of the image that best matches the template. Include your MATLAB code in your writeup, and describe why the peak occurs where it does. Also explain the straight-line artifacts in the cross-correlation. *Hint: look at the template and the original image.*

You don't need to include the cross-correlation image itself in your report, as it may not print well.

(b) In the “part (b)” section of `crossCorrelation.m`, perform cross-correlation using the larger template. Note that the larger template does not exactly match the image. Describe your results, and why they are different from part (a). What does this tell you about the limitations of cross-correlation for identifying objects in real-world photos? *Notice that the provided code auto-scales image brightness so that it covers the full range. So please remember that the color white doesn't denote the same value in the two cross-correlation images.*

(c) Above, we saw that cross-correlation can be fragile. One way to make it less fragile is to perform cross-correlation using many templates to cover the different ways an object may appear in an image. Suppose we wish to search for N_R possible rotations of an object at N_S possible sizes. Assume the image is size $n \times n$ and the template is roughly size $m \times m$. How many math operations will the entire search require? *We're just looking for a “Big- O Notation” estimate. In other words, you may neglect constant factors, such as the effects of image edge padding, and smaller terms.*

3 Canny Edge Detector




Figure 1: A rotated detected edge

(a) Suppose that an image contains a vertical edge which is detected by the Canny edge detector. The edge (see Figure 1) is then rotated by an angle θ , and we apply the Canny edge detector to the rotated edge. The gradient measured at the original edge was $(Dx, 0)$, and the gradient at the rotated edge is (Dx', Dy') . Based on your knowledge of rotations, you know the gradients have this relationship:

$$Dx' = Dx \cos \theta \quad Dy' = Dx \sin \theta \quad (5)$$

Will the rotated edge be detected using the same Canny edge detector? Provide either a mathematical proof or a counter example.

Hint: Use the fact that the detection of a particular edge by a Canny edge detector is only dependent on the magnitude of the gradient.

(b) After running the Canny edge detector on an image, you notice that long edges are broken into short segments separated by gaps. In addition, some spurious edges appear. For each of the two thresholds (low and high) used in hysteresis thresholding, state how you would adjust the threshold (up or down) to address both problems. Assume that a setting exists for the two thresholds that produces the desired result. Explain your answer very briefly.

4 Difference-of-Gaussian (DoG) Detector

(a) The 1-D Gaussian is

$$g_{\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad (6)$$

Calculate its 2nd derivative with respect to x , and use Matlab to plot the graph of the 2nd derivative (use $\sigma = 1$).

(b) Use Matlab to plot the difference of Gaussians in 1-D

$$D(x, \sigma, k) = \frac{g_{k\sigma}(x) - g_{\sigma}(x)}{k\sigma - \sigma} \quad (7)$$

using different $k = 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 1.8$ and 2.0 . Which best matches the shape of the 2nd derivative from part (a)? For this problem, you need to submit your Matlab code and plot. (Again use $\sigma = 1$, and it is recommended to overlay the plots from (a) and (b) in one graph, using MATLAB's `hold on` statement.)

(c) The 2D equivalents of the plot above are rotationally symmetric. If we compute the correlation of an image with a difference of Gaussians, what type of image structure will give a large response?

5 RANSAC for Fitting Circles




Figure 2: Fitting a circle to a group of 2D points

In class, we have discussed how to fit a line to a series of points using RANSAC. In this problem, you are going to develop an algorithm for fitting a circle using RANSAC given a group of points in two dimensional space $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ (see Figure 2).

Important: Please submit your code and plots for this problem.

(a) A circle \mathcal{C} in 2D space can be represented by its center (c_x, c_y) and its radius R . Before we implement RANSAC, we need a way to fit a circle (c_x, c_y, R) to a chosen set of points (we will need at least 3 points to specify a circle, and with more points we can get an “average circle” that is close to fitting them.) Read the provided handout on least-squares model fitting. We will use least-squares to fit a circle to 3 or more points. Remember that, for each point i , a circle should satisfy the simple scalar equation

$$R^2 = (x_i - c_x)^2 + (y_i - c_y)^2$$

From basic algebra, this can be rewritten as

$$R^2 = x_i^2 - 2x_i c_x + c_x^2 + y_i^2 - 2y_i c_y + c_y^2$$

$$2x_i c_x + 2y_i c_y + R^2 - c_x^2 - c_y^2 = x_i^2 + y_i^2$$

Note that we have unknown variables $(R, c_x$ and $c_y)$ squared. Least squares can only handle linear equations, so this is a problem. But, let’s cleverly define a new variable $q = R^2 - c_x^2 - c_y^2$. Now we have

$$2x_i c_x + 2y_i c_y + q = x_i^2 + y_i^2$$

This is a linear equation (note x_i^2 and y_i^2 are constants), so a set of these equations can be solved by least squares! (Afterward, we can recover the value of R^2 from q .)

Edit the provided `FitCircle.m` to set up a system of the above equations (one equation per input point) and solve the system using least squares. Run the provided `TestFit.m` to check your solution. The fit should be good on the first plot, but for the second plot note that it is sensitive to outliers. In your report, include your code and the plots from a run of `TestFit.m`.

(b) Using your `FitCircle()` function as a subroutine, complete the `RANSAC.m` function. Run the provided `TestRansac.m` to test your function. In your report, include your code and the plots from a run of `TestRansac.m`.

Hint:

- Review the lecture slides on RANSAC, and read through `RANSAC.m` from the beginning. Be sure to understand the meaning of each input argument before coding.
- Use the given functions to help you. Each “Your Code Here” spot should only take one or a few lines of code. Look at our default value for each variable to understand the format expected.

(c) Above, we applied RANSAC to $N = 10$ points. Edit `TestRansac.m` to set $N = 1000$ and run it a few times to see the results. What is happening, and what RANSAC parameters can be changed to fix it? You can modify the parameters in `TestRansac.m` to test your answer.

6 Pinhole Camera Model

In class, we have discussed the pinhole camera model. In this problem, we will calibrate a pinhole camera. We have provided an illustration for pinhole camera model in Figure 3, where O is the




Figure 3: Pinhole camera model

location of the pinhole. The **focal length**, i.e. the distance from the image plane to the pinhole is f .

(a) Suppose we have a point (x, y, z) in the coordinate system defined by the pinhole and the image plane origin is aligned to the pinhole. What's the corresponding point in the image plane? *Hint:* You can use f , x , y and z to represent the corresponding point.

(b) Now we have a calibration board (or a checkerboard) as in Figure 4. Each black square on the checkerboard has an area of S . Assume we have image plane and calibration board face each other in parallel and the distance between the calibration board and the pinhole is L . What's the area of each black square in the image plane?

(c) Now the image plane origin is no longer aligned to the pinhole, which is (c_x, c_y) . Suppose we have a point (x, y, z) in the coordinate system defined by the pinhole, what's the corresponding point in the image plane? *Hint:* You can use c_x , c_y , f , x , y and z to represent the corresponding point.

(d) Continue with part (c). We have our pinhole camera parameters f , c_x and c_y . We have no idea of what these parameters are, and we want to measure them by taking photos of our calibration board. The calibration process works as follows:

- Place the checkerboard parallel with the image plane at some distance L .
- Mark some corners (or some distinctive points on the checkerboard), measure each point's location (x, y, L) on the checkerboard and then take a photo.




Figure 4: A sample calibration board

- Find the corresponding points in the image and measure their location in the image.

What's the minimal number of points to measure in order to get the pinhole camera parameters f , c_x and c_y ? Explain your reasons.

(e) Continue with part (d). Is it possible to get the pinhole camera parameters f , c_x and c_y with our checkerboard fixed (i.e. without moving the checkerboard during the calibration process)? Justify your answers.